

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,288	8 04/04/2002		Frank Kowalewski	10191/2062	5733
26646	7590	09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON		ON LLP	PATHAK, SUDHANSHU C		
ONE BROA NEW YORK		0004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2611	
				DATE MAILED: 09/11/2000	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/009,288	KOWALEWSKI, FRANK	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2611	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED August 21st, 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonme this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, whi places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the	ich 1; or
following time periods:	ie
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension feel been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension feel under CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	er 37 th in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the	n data
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appoince a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS	
· ·	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b)  ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issuence.	oc for
appeal; and/or	62 101
(d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-3	324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	li
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cance the non-allowable claim(s).	eung
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	ion of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>18-20</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-17 &amp; 21-24</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be ent because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necess and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to pro showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance became	ause:
13. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (DTO/SD/00) Denor No(s)	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☑ Other: See attached "Response to Arguments".	
TESFALD BOCK PE	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 4

Application/Control Number: 10/009,288 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

## Response to Arguments

- 1. In regards to the argument that "The AAPA discloses that the estimated transmission channel includes inherent noise. The AAPA does not include any estimation of this additive noise and does not estimate the additive noise." (Remarks, Page 7, lines 23-26). It is still not clear as to what noise is being referred to i.e. additive noise added to the signal by the channel or the noise as the difference in estimated channel and the ideal channel. However, the amended specification (Specification, Page 1, lines 19-23) discloses "inherent additive noise of the to estimated transmission channel.....generally results in the transmission channel coefficients that are higher than the actual values". Therefore, the above sentence is interpreted to mean that the channel estimation coefficients have higher values than the actual coefficients due to the additive noise, therefore estimating the transmission channel includes estimating the additive noise since due to these the coefficients are higher.
- 2. Therefore, due to the arguments presented above, further clarification is needed and once the clarification is provided a new search (consideration) would be required.